UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,150	11/26/2003	Vinoj N. Kumar	5-5	9235
Ryan, Mason &	7590 03/27/200 : Lewis, LLP	EXAMINER		
90 Forest Avenue Locust Valley, NY 11560			BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J	
Locust Valley, I	N 1 1156U		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,150	KUMAR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LAAIIIIIEI	Art Unit	

CHRIST	FOPHER J. BROWN	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	he cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 periods:	1) an amendment, affidavit appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final b)</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than S Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	ction, or (2) the date set forth i	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	d the corresponding amount o statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wit filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension their Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the table.</li> </ol>	reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS  3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form from appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence.	on and/or search (see NOT	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if			•
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ot be entered, or b) 🔲 will	·	_
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	<u>all</u> rejections under appea s not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ul> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO Sea Continuation Shoot</li> </ul>		•	
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/13. Other:	/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2134			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments follow the same grounds and before the final action that the Cathey and Holdsworth references are not sufficient to teach the invention as claimed. The examiner asserts that the combination of Cathey and Holdsworth do teach the invention as claimed. The ACL of Holdsworth is applied to the tree structure of Cathey. Cathey does teach separate matching tables, as well as Holdsworth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to make the combination .